

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/688,581	BALASSANIAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Benjamin R. Bruckart	2155	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Benjamin R. Bruckart. (3)_____

(2) The voicemail of James Born, Reg. No. 46,564. (4)_____

Date of Interview: 7-2-07

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: 7-2-07.

Claim(s) discussed: None.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant has been granted a petition to revive the case from abandonment status. However, the reply does not further prosecution from a final status giving 10-21-05. The petition to revive is believed to be granted in order to be given a date for a continuation filing. The examiner could not reach James Born or anyone else associated with the petition to revive to discuss and clarify the issues for revival. Because no proper reply was submitted with the petition to revive and conversation could be made with the applicant, the case remains in abandoned form..